

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,110	09/09/2003	Hans David Hoeg	04413-P0005A	9223
24126 (2)223,0999 ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC 986 BEDEORD STREET			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, PHILIP ROBERT	
STAMFORD,	STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/657,110 HOEG ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit PHILIP R. SMITH 3739 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 14-19 and 21-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-19 and 21-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

The Final Rejection of 8/5/08

[01] In accordance with CFR §1.78(a)(5)(iii), the application has the priority date of 9/5/2002. For at least this reason, the Hale reference (2003/0114730) is not prior art, and the rejections under 103(a) are withdrawn.

[02] This Office action is to replace the Office action of 8/5/08.

Drawings

[03] The objection to the drawings set forth in the Office action of 1/16/08 is withdrawn in view of the cancellation of claim 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- [04] The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- [05] Claims 14-17, 19, 21-25, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (6,702,736) in view of Hoeq (6,371,909) and in further view of Fukunaga (6,346,940).
- [06] With regard to claim 14:

[06a] Chen discloses a method for improving a medical procedure; comprising:

- providing an endoscope ("endoscope 90" 4/48) having a shaft with a longitudinal axis
 and a variable view vector (see Figure 11);
- positioning the endoscope relative to an anatomical structure to acquire images of the structure;
- providing a first display of the images acquired by the endoscope ("video image data 210 obtained from endoscope 90" 9/25):

Art Unit: 3739

providing a second display of a graphical model of the anatomical structure ("computer
model image data 220" 9/26) simultaneously with the first display of the images of the
anatomical structure acquired by the endoscope ("composite image 200" 9/24),
wherein the graphical model is reconstructed from volumetric scan data of the
anatomical structure ("anatomical 3-D computer models 160" 8/24);

Page 3

- acquiring position data of the endoscope relative to the anatomical structure ("tracking system 97" 3-19).
- displaying a graphical model of the endoscope relative to the anatomical structure based on the position data and the volumetric scan data (see Figure 9 with reference to (10/35-41);

[06b] Chen does not disclose:

- that the variable view vector pivots relative to the longitudinal axis of the shaft;
- acquiring configuration data of the orientation of the view vector relative to the longitudinal axis of the endoscope shaft as the view vector pivots relative to the shaft;

[06c] Hoeg discloses:

a variable view vector that pivots relative to the longitudinal axis of the shaft of an
endoscope ("optical path portion 46" 4/28) and acquiring configuration data of the
orientation of the view vector relative to the longitudinal axis of the endoscope shaft as
the view vector pivots relative to the shaft ("sensors or other devices that provide
rotational position signals to a video monitor, with the video monitor providing a
numerical, graphical, or other representation of the viewing angle" 6/20-26).

Art Unit: 3739

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in
the art to enable a variable view vector such as that disclosed by Hoeg in the
endoscope disclosed by Chen. A skilled artisan would be motivated to do so for the
reasons stated in 5/25-30 of Hoeg: "Failure to know precisely the direction in which the
endoscope is looking can complicate a procedure."

[06d] Chen in view of Hoeg does not disclose:

- displaying a graphical representation of the endoscope in the second display;
- displaying in the second display a graphical model of the view vector relative the longitudinal axis of the endoscope based on the configuration data.

[06e] Fukunaga discloses:

- providing a first display of the images acquired by an endoscope ("main display 11a which displays an endoscopic image Ai" 5/54);
- displaying in a second display a graphical model of an anatomical structure ("subdisplay 11b which displays an outer shape image Bi" 5/55)
- displaying in the second display a graphical representation of the endoscope relative to the anatomical structure based on the position data and the volumetric scan data ("indicator image Ci" 8/61-67)
- displaying in the second display a graphical representation of the view vector ("red bar 37" 8/63) relative the longitudinal axis of the endoscope based on the configuration data.
- At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that to combine anatomic visualization system disclosed by Chen with the

graphical representation of an endoscope relative to an anatomical structure as disclosed by Fukunaga. It is obvious to combine prior art elements according to known methods to yield predictable results. In combination, the anatomic visualization system and the graphical representation of an endoscope would have performed the same function as they had separately; a skilled artisan would have recognized that the result of the combination was predictable.

- [07] With regard to claim 15: As noted above, Fukunaga discloses displaying on the second display a graphical representation of the endoscopic view vector. Chen discloses a cone surrounding the view vector based on known "optical properties" of the endoscope (see Figure 11). A view cone, in addition to the vector disclosed by Fukunaga would offer the obvious advantage of borders of the displayed portion (see "software object 908" in Figure 4).
- [08] With regard to claim 16: Fukunaga further discloses displaying on the second display a marker that indicates the up direction of the images acquired by the endoscope ("green hemisphere 35... indicates the reference direction" 8/65-67).
- [09] With regard to claim 17: As noted above, Chen in view of Fukunaga discloses first and second displays are displayed on a single monitor. It would have been obvious to display them on first and second monitors, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ. Constructing two monitors having a single display each instead of a single monitor having two displays is essentially equivalent and well within the abilities of a skilled artisan.
- [10] With regard to claim 19: Chen further discloses selecting a target point relative to the reconstructed anatomical structure "markers 30E" 11/45); and calculating a set of endoscope tip positions from

Art Unit: 3739

which there is a direct line of sight to the target point. In the course of rendering target points within the first and second displays, Chen inherently calculates an endoscope tip position from which there is a direct line of sight to the target point (as in Figure 12). Given that the target point will be rendered from any vantage point having a direct line of sight to the target point, there will inherently be a set of endoscope tip positions which is calculated in the normal course of use.

[11] With regard to claim 21: Chen in view of Fukunaga further discloses: selecting an entry path for the endoscope ("plan a surgical procedure" 11/46); calculating which of the endoscope tip positions for which there is a direct line of sight to the target point are intersected by the entry path (see Figure 12); and displaying the endoscope tip positions that are intersected by the entry path (see Figure 12).

[12] With regard to claim 22:

[12a] Chen discloses a method for improving a medical procedure; comprising:

- providing an endoscope ("endoscope 90" 4/48) having a shaft and a distal end with a longitudinal axis and a variable view vector (see Figure 11);
- positioning the endoscope relative to an anatomical structure to acquire images of the structure;
- providing a first display of the images acquired by the endoscope ("video image data 210 obtained from endoscope 90" 9/25);
- providing a second display of a graphical model of the anatomical structure ("computer model image data 220" 9/26) simultaneously with the first display of the images of the anatomical structure acquired by the endoscope ("composite image 200" 9/24),

Art Unit: 3739

wherein the graphical model is reconstructed from volumetric scan data of the anatomical structure ("anatomical 3-D computer models 160" 8/24):

Page 7

- acquiring position data of the endoscope relative to the anatomical structure ("tracking system 97" 3-19).
- displaying a graphical model of the endoscope relative to the anatomical structure based on the position data and the volumetric scan data (see Figure 9 with reference to (10/35-41);

[12b] Chen does not disclose:

- that the variable view vector pivots relative to the longitudinal axis of the shaft;
- acquiring configuration data of an internal view changing mechanism in the distal end
 of the shaft that pivots the view vector pivots relative to the longitudinal axis of the
 shaft;

[12c] Hoeg discloses:

- a variable view vector that pivots relative to the longitudinal axis of the shaft of an
 endoscope ("optical path portion 46" 4/28) and acquiring configuration data of the
 orientation of the view vector relative to the longitudinal axis of the endoscope shaft as
 the view vector pivots relative to the shaft ("sensors or other devices that provide
 rotational position signals to a video monitor, with the video monitor providing a
 numerical, graphical, or other representation of the viewing angle" 6/20-26).
- At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in
 the art to enable a variable view vector such as that disclosed by Hoeg in the
 endoscope disclosed by Chen. A skilled artisan would be motivated to do so for the

Art Unit: 3739

reasons stated in 5/25-30 of Hoeg: "Failure to know precisely the direction in which the endoscope is looking can complicate a procedure."

[12d] Chen in view of Hoeg does not disclose:

displaying a graphical representation of the endoscope in the second display;

 displaying in the second display a graphical model of the view vector relative the longitudinal axis of the endoscope based on the configuration data.

[12e] Fukunaga discloses:

 providing a first display of the images acquired by an endoscope ("main display 11a which displays an endoscopic image Ai" 5/54);

- displaying in a second display a graphical model of an anatomical structure
 ("subdisplay 11b which displays an outer shape image Bi" 5/55)
- displaying in the second display a graphical representation of the endoscope relative to the anatomical structure based on the position data and the volumetric scan data ("indicator image Ci" 8/61-67)
- displaying in the second display a graphical representation of the view vector ("red bar 37" 8/63) relative the longitudinal axis of the endoscope based on the configuration data.
- At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in
 the art that to combine anatomic visualization system disclosed by Chen with the
 graphical representation of an endoscope relative to an anatomical structure as
 disclosed by Fukunaga. It is obvious to combine prior art elements according to
 known methods to yield predictable results. In combination, the anatomic visualization

system and the graphical representation of an endoscope would have performed the same function as they had separately; a skilled artisan would have recognized that the result of the combination was predictable.

Page 9

- [13] With regard to claim 23: As noted above, Fukunaga discloses displaying on the second display a graphical representation of the endoscopic view vector. Chen discloses a cone surrounding the view vector based on known "optical properties" of the endoscope (see Figure 11). A view cone, in addition to the vector disclosed by Fukunaga would offer the obvious advantage of borders of the displayed portion (see "software object 908" in Figure 4).
- [14] With regard to claim 24: Fukunaga further discloses displaying on the second display a marker that indicates the up direction of the images acquired by the endoscope ("green hemisphere 35... indicates the reference direction" 8/65-67).
- [15] With regard to claim 25: As noted above, Chen in view of Fukunaga discloses first and second displays are displayed on a single monitor. It would have been obvious to display them on first and second monitors, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ. Constructing two monitors having a single display each instead of a single monitor having two displays is essentially equivalent and well within the abilities of a skilled artisan.
- [16] With regard to claim 27: Chen further discloses selecting a target point relative to the reconstructed anatomical structure ("markers 30E" 11/45); and calculating a set of endoscope tip positions from which there is a direct line of sight to the target point. In the course of rendering target points within the first and second displays, Chen inherently calculates an endoscope tip position from which there is a direct line of sight to the target point (as in Figure 12). Given that the target point will be

Art Unit: 3739

rendered from any vantage point having a direct line of sight to the target point, there will inherently be a set of endoscope tip positions which is calculated in the normal course of use.

Page 10

[17] With regard to claim 28: Chen in view of Fukunaga further discloses: selecting an entry path for the endoscope ("plan a surgical procedure" 11/46); calculating which of the endoscope tip positions for which there is a direct line of sight to the target point are intersected by the entry path (see Figure 12); and displaying the endoscope tip positions that are intersected by the entry path (see Figure 12).

Additional Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- [18] Claims 18, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (6,702,736) in view of Hoeg (6,371,909) and Fukunaga (6,346,940) and in further view of Schulz (5,920,395).
- [19] Chen discloses that "tracking system 97 might comprise an optical tracking system" (5/26-27).
 Chen does not disclose that "tracking system 97" particularly comprises a plurality of cameras to track light emitting diodes on the endoscope.
- [20] Schulz discloses that "[t]hree light sensors 20, 22, and 24 sense the light projected by the individual light emitters 14, 16 and generate electrical output signals from which are derived the location of the probe 12 and, consequently, the probe tip 18, with respect to the fixed coordinate system 80" (5/30-35). Schulz further discloses that the light emitter may be LEDs (7/10).
- [21] At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that the optical tracking system disclosed by Schulz be substituted for the optical tracking system disclosed by Chen. It is obvious to substitute one known element for another to obtain predictable results. Optical tracking systems are known in the art, as are camera/LED optical tracking systems.

Art Unit: 3739

A skilled artisan could have substituted one for the other, and the resulting substitution would have been predictable.

Page 11

Response to Arguments

[22] Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- [23] Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.
 Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- [24] A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- [25] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIP R. SMITH whose telephone number is (571)272-6087 and whose email address is philip.smith@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:00am and 5:00pm.
- [26] If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272 4764.

Art Unit: 3739

[27] Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is

available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Philip R Smith/

Examiner, Art Unit 3739

/Linda C Dvorak/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3739